



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/569,172

02/22/2006

Jonathan R. Piesing

GB030153

7875

24737

7590

09/01/2009

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS

P.O. BOX 3001

BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510

EXAMINER

CHOKSHI, PINKAL R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2425

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/01/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/569,172	PIESING, JONATHAN R.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PINKAL CHOKSHI	2425	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 May 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 05/29/2009 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 05/11/2009 with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. See the new rejection below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. **Claims 1-13** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US PG Pub 2003/0079225 to Peising et al (hereafter referenced as Piesing) in view of US PG Pub 2004/0034875 to Bulkowski et al (hereafter referenced as Bulkowski).

Regarding **claim 1**, “a method of monitoring a broadcast signal” reads on the method where the broadcast signal is monitored for an identification signal (abstract) disclosed by Piesing and represented in Fig. 1.

As to “method comprising receiving, by an end user device, a broadcast signal” Piesing discloses (§0024) that the receiver receives broadcast signals as represented in Fig. 1 (elements 28 and 34).

As to “monitoring the broadcast signal for an identification signal” Piesing discloses (§0021 and §0024) that the identification signal included in broadcast signal is monitored by the receiver for the presence of the identification signal.

As to “pausing the received timebase if the identification signal is not present” Piesing discloses (§0025) that when identification signal is not present in broadcast signal, receiver interrupts by pausing an internal timebase of the interactive application.

As to “the broadcast signal including a timebase” Piesing discloses (§0020) that the interactive application transmitted to receiver is part of the data portion that is part of the broadcast signal. Piesing further discloses (§0025) that the possible interruption includes pausing an internal timebase received in receiver. Piesing meets all the limitations of the claim except he does not explicitly teach “a timebase is included in the broadcast signal and pausing the timebase, wherein said timebase is a periodic clock.” However, Bulkowski discloses (§0081-§0083) that the time base is associated with the data stream transmitted to the client device as represented in Fig. 5. Bulkowski further

Art Unit: 2425

discloses (§§0070) that the timing information transmitted with the data stream to the client device includes time pulses (periodic clock), which delivered regularly to the client and consist of the current time on the stream's time base. Bulkowski also discloses (§§0074-§§0076) that the time base associated with data stream is paused. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Piesing's system by pausing time base as taught by Bulkowski in order to run interactive application correctly on a viewer's screen so the viewer has a great deal of control over what appears on screen (§§0004, §§0012).

Regarding **claim 2**, "a method wherein the broadcast signal comprises a video component, an audio component, and a data component" Piesing discloses (§§0019) that the audio, video and data components are multiplexed in multiplexer as represented in Fig. 1 (elements 18, 20, 22).

Regarding **claim 3**, "a method wherein the timebase is a portion of the data component of the broadcast signal" Piesing discloses (§§0020) that the interactive application transmitted to receiver is part of the data portion that is part of the broadcast signal. Piesing further discloses (§§0025) that the possible interruption includes pausing an internal timebase received in receiver. Piesing does not explicitly teach that the timebase is a portion of the data component. However, Bulkowski discloses (§§0070 and §§0083) that the time base is

associated with the data stream as represented in Fig. 5. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Piesing's system by pausing time base as taught by Bulkowski in order to run interactive application correctly on a viewer's screen so the viewer has a great deal of control over what appears on screen (§0004, §0012).

Regarding **claim 4**, "a method wherein the broadcast signal is a digital signal and the identification signal is present in the data component of the broadcast signal" Piesing discloses (§0010) that the broadcast signal is a digital signal. Piesing further discloses (§0019) that the identification signal is produced by device 26 with data component and other data to generate broadcast signal.

Regarding **claim 5**, "a method wherein the broadcast signal is an analogue signal and the identification signal is present in the vertical blanking interval of the broadcast signal" Piesing discloses (§0029) that the broadcast signal is an analog signal with the identification signal is presented in VBI of the broadcast signal.

Regarding **claim 6**, "a method further comprising restarting the timebase, once the identification signal is present" Piesing discloses (§0025) that the interruption will be suspended when the identification signal is returned. Piesing

does not explicitly teach that restarting the timebase. However, Bulkowski discloses (§0083) that the client device recreates the time base associated with the data stream. In addition, same motivation is used as rejection of claim 1.

Regarding **claim 7**, “a method wherein the identification signal is present in the normal data structures describing the video component of the broadcast signal” Piesing discloses (§0021, §0024, §0025) that the identification signal is carried in the video signal which describes its component by above mentioned operation.

Regarding **claim 8**, “apparatus for monitoring a broadcast signal” reads on the receiver where the broadcast signal is monitored for an identification signal (abstract) disclosed by Piesing and represented in Fig. 1.

As to “apparatus comprising receiving means for receiving the broadcast signal” Piesing discloses (§0024) that the receiver receives broadcast signals as represented in Fig. 1 (elements 28 and 34).

As to “monitoring means for monitoring the broadcast signal for an identification signal” Piesing discloses (§0021 and §0024) that the identification signal included in broadcast signal is monitored by the receiver for the presence of the identification signal.

As to “for pausing the received timebase if the identification signal is not present” Piesing discloses (§0025) that when identification signal is not present

in broadcast signal, receiver interrupts by pausing an internal timebase of the interactive application.

As to “the broadcast signal including a timebase” Piesing discloses (¶0020) that the interactive application transmitted to receiver is part of the data portion that is part of the broadcast signal. Piesing further discloses (¶0025) that the possible interruption includes pausing an internal timebase received in receiver. Piesing meets all the limitations of the claim except he does not explicitly teach “a timebase is included in the broadcast signal and pausing the timebase, wherein said timebase is a periodic clock.” However, Bulkowski discloses (¶0081-¶0083) that the time base is associated with the data stream transmitted to the client device as represented in Fig. 5. Bulkowski further discloses (¶0070) that the timing information transmitted with the data stream to the client device includes time pulses (periodic clock), which delivered regularly to the client and consist of the current time on the stream's time base. Bulkowski also discloses (¶0074-¶0076) that the time base associated with data stream is paused. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Piesing's system by pausing time base as taught by Bulkowski in order to run interactive application correctly on a viewer's screen so the viewer has a great deal of control over what appears on screen (¶0004, ¶0012).

Regarding **claim 9**, “apparatus wherein the signal comprises a video component, an audio component, and a data component” Piesing discloses (¶0019) that the audio, video and data components are multiplexed in multiplexer as represented in Fig. 1 (elements 18, 20, 22).

Regarding **claim 10**, “apparatus wherein the timebase is a portion of the data component of the broadcast signal” Piesing discloses (¶0020) that the interactive application transmitted to receiver is part of the data portion that is part of the broadcast signal. Piesing further discloses (¶0025) that the possible interruption includes pausing an internal timebase received in receiver. Piesing does not explicitly teach that the timebase is a portion of the data component. However, Bulkowski discloses (¶0070 and ¶0083) that the time base is associated with the data stream as represented in Fig. 5. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Piesing’s system by pausing time base as taught by Bulkowski in order to run interactive application correctly on a viewer’s screen so the viewer has a great deal of control over what appears on screen (¶0004, ¶0012).

Regarding **claim 11**, “apparatus wherein the receiving means and the monitoring means are portions of an integrated circuit” Piesing discloses (¶0026) that the receiving means and monitoring means are part an integrated circuit.

Regarding **claim 12**, “apparatus wherein the apparatus is a digital television receiver” Piesing discloses (§0023) that the apparatus is a receiver as represented in Fig. 1 (element 34).

Regarding **claim 13**, “apparatus wherein the monitoring means is arranged to restart the timebase, once the identification signal is present” Piesing discloses (§0025) that the interruption will be suspended when the identification signal is returned. However, Bulkowski discloses (§0083) that the client device recreates the time base associated with the data stream. In addition, same motivation is used as rejection of claim 1.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- US Patent 6,415,438 to Blackketter discloses an interactive TV trigger that has a time attribute value that indicates a time the trigger is to be executed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PINKAL CHOKSHI whose telephone number is (571) 270-3317. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8 - 5 pm (Alt. Friday off).

Art Unit: 2425

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Pendleton can be reached on 571-272-7527. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Pinkal Chokshi/
Examiner, Art Unit 2425

/Brian T. Pendleton/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2425